

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/698,205	10/31/2003	Frank Forrest Humbles		9994
75	90 12/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael E. Mauney			MAYO, TARA L	
Attorney at Law P. O. Box 10266			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southport, NC 28461			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	(Applicants)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/698,205	HUMBLES, FRANK FORREST
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Tara L. Mayo	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on     2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□     3)□ Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice units.	This action is non-final.	•••
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application Papers	hdrawn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2004</u> is  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan orrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 10/698,205 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The prior objection to the Abstract has been overcome by the response filed 01 October 2004.

# Claim Objections

- 2. The prior objection to Claim 1 has been overcome by the response filed 01 October 2004.
- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: minor grammatical errors.

In claim 1, section b on line 3, immediately following "that" and prior to "right" insert --said--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 7 through 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Witter (U.S. Patent No. 3,884,225).

Witter '225, as seen in Figure 1, shows an arm protection apparatus for positioning at least one arm of a patient comprising:

with regard to claim 7,

- (a) at least one central cushion pad (10), said central pad sized to be positioned under the torso of a patient;
- (b) attached on said central pad, at least one arm section (12, 13, 17 and 18) with an arm end extending away from said central pad, said at least one arm section sized to loop around at least a portion of an arm of a patient; and
- (c) means for attaching (21, 22, 23 and 24) said arm end of said at least one arm section to said central pad, whereby at least a portion of an arm of a patient may be contained within said at least one arm section looped around an arm of a patient and held into place in proximity to a patient's torso;

with regard to claim 8,

wherein said central pad is sized whereby there is a plurality of arm sections; with regard to claim 9,

wherein said plurality of arm sections are radiolucent (col. 1, lines 60 through 61); with regard to claim 10,

wherein said means for attaching readily attach and detach (col. 2, lines 25 through 26) whereby said plurality of arm sections may be quickly used to secure a patient's arm or to unsecure a patient's arm in proximity to a patient's torso;

with regard to claim 11,

wherein said plurality of arm sections are constructed of material that is easily cut (col. 1, lines 60 through 61) whereby a portion of said plurality of arm sections may be cut away when necessary for visualizing a patient's arm folded within said arm section; and with regard to claim 12,

wherein there are four arm sections attached to said central cushion pad, each arm section sized to fit around approximately one-half of a patient's arm.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 through 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vinci (U.S. Patent No. 5,549,121 A) in view of Witter (U.S. Patent No. 3,884,225) and Tari (U.S. Patent No. 4,662,366).

Vinci '121, as seen in Figures 1 through 7, shows an arm protection apparatus (2) for positioning a patient's arms (11) when in a prone or supine position on a patient support comprising:

with regard to claim 1,

(a) at least one soft pad with a central portion positionable under the patient's body (col. 4, lines 39 through 43; and col. 5, lines 19 through 22);

- (b) on said at least one soft pad, a right arm section positionable around the right arm of a patient including means for attaching (6 and 8) said right arm section to said central portion of said at least one soft pad so that said right arm section is folded around a patient's right arm; and
- (c) on said at least one soft pad, a left arm section positionable around the left arm of a patient including means for attaching (6 and 8) said left arm section to said central portion of said at least one soft pad so that said left arm section is folded around a patient's left arm; with regard to claim 2,

wherein said soft pad is made of material that is easily cut (col. 5, lines 19 through 23) whereby a portion of the soft pad may be cut away when necessary for visualizing a portion of a patient's body that may be otherwise covered by said at least one soft pad; with regard to claim 3,

wherein said right and left means for attaching readily attach and detach (col. 4, lines 55 through 59) whereby said right and left arm sections may be easily and quickly attached and detached from said central portion of said at least one soft pad; and with regard to claim 4,

wherein said at least one soft pad is radiolucent (col. 5, lines 32 through 37).

Vinci '121 discloses all of the features of the claimed invention with the exception(s) of:

Application/Control Number: 10/698,205

Art Unit: 3671

with regard to claim 1,

the at least one soft pad being a cushion pad; and

the right and left arm sections comprising upper and lower sections foldable around upper and lower parts of a patient's right and left arms;

with regard to claim 5,

the at least one soft pad comprising first and second rectangular sections connected together, thereby forming a generally H-shaped pad with the right arm upper section, left arm upper section, right arm lower section, and left arm lower section comprising legs of the H; and

with regard to claim 6,

wherein the H-shaped pad has a width approximately equal to the length of a patient's torso.

Witter '225, as seen in Figure 1, shows a patient turn and hold device comprising a soft cushion pad (20) positionable under the patient's body (col. 2, lines 34 through 36).

Tari '366, as seen in Figures 1 through 4, shows an arm support (10) for a patient's arms comprising separate upper and lower assemblies (30 and 32) positionable about the upper and lower sections (18 and 22) of an arm of a patient (14), the upper and lower assemblies configured to immobilize the entire arm of a patient (col. 3, lines 62 through 67), wherein each of the upper and lower sections includes means for attaching (45 and 46).

With regard to claim 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of supports at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Vinci '121' such that it would include a fleece cushion as taught to be desirable by Witter '225. The motivation would have been to provide a means of comfort for the patient.

With regard to claim 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of supports at the time the invention was made to modify the device shown by Vinci '121 such that the right and left arm sections would each include upper and lower sections as taught by Tari '366. The motivation would have been to provide support for the entire right and left arms, respectively.

With regard to claims 5 and 6, a generally H-shaped pad having a width approximately equal to the length of a patient's torso is inherent to the device shown by Vinci '121 as modified above by Witter '225 and Tari '366.

#### Response to Arguments

8. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., arm protector pads made of soft, yielding, foam-like material) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 through 12, as amended to include at lease one soft cushion pad, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 703-305-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,205 Page 9

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

29 November 2004

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600